

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/651,059	08/28/2003	Dominic Anthony Viscomi	Viscomi-Viscomi	Viscomi-Viscomi 9001	
39570	7590 12/21/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DOMINIC A. VISCOMI 1868 FELICITY LANE			WILSON, JOHN J		
	WN, PA 18055		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3732	3732 DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005	
			DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/651,059	VISCOMI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
John J. Wilson	3732		

	John J. Wilson	3732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3 months</u> from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	ecause				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 004)				
 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 26 would be allow 	: The Double Patenting rejection in	view of 10/457,168.					
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-25</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
ATTACHMENT.' B Enterview Summary.							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: While an After Final interview has been held in this application, and certain claim language was agreed with, the final submitted claim language is not in condition for allowance. With respect to submitted new claim 37, is has been amended more than was agreed to during the interview, and as such, this amendment is not being entered. Further, problems with the language of that claim and others has been found, and must be corrected. It is noted that in interviews after final, as with all interviews, the language is subject to final review of the actual submitted language before an application can be issued. Below, each of the newly submitted claims is addressed and language suggested.

Claim 26 is allowable as written.

In claim 27, "may possess" is indefinite, the claim would be allowable is "may" is cancelled.

In claim 28, "may contain" is indefinite, if "which may contain" is changed to -, and further includes -.

In claim 29, "there is one" is unclear if it limiting the claim to just one, in which case the claim would be in contradiction to the claim from which it depends which already claims a weakening or separating notch contiguous with the hole, therefore, it is assumed that this is claiming an additional notch. If "there is one weakening or separating notch" is changed to, - said band includes a plurality of weakening or separating notches, and one of said notches is located -.

In claim 30, "there is a" is unclear as to whether this is referring to the weakening or separating notch referred to in claim 26 or an additional notch. If it is the same notch, then "there is a weakening or separating notch" should be changed to, - said weakening or separating notch is positioned --. If this is an additional notch, then "there is a weakening or separating notch" should be changed to, - said band includes a plurality of weakening or separating notches, and one of the notches is located -.

In claim 31, line 1, after "wherein" the claim language should be changed to, - said band includes a plurality of weakening and separating notches, one of said notches is located on the inferior border of said hole and is in alignment with a second weakening or separating notch which is located on the inferior border of said band's body -.

In claim 32, "there is a" is unclear as to whether this is referring to the weakening or separating notch referred to in claim 26 or an additional notch. If it is the same notch, then "there is a weakening or separating notch" should be changed to, - said weakening or separating notch is positioned -. If this is an additional notch, then "there is a weakening or separating notch" should be changed to, - said band includes a plurality of weakening or separating notches, and one of the notches is located -.

In claim 33, line 1, after "wherein" the claim language should be changed to, - said band includes a plurality of weakening and separating notches, one of said notches is located in the superior aspect of said hole and is in alignment with a second weakening or separating notch which is located on the inferior border of said band's body -

In claim 34, line 1, after "wherein" the claim language should be changed to, - said band includes a plurality of weakening and separating notches, one of said notches is located on the inferior border of said hole and is in alignment with a second weakening or separating notch which is located on the superior aspect of said hole -.

In claim 35, line 1, after "wherein" the claim language should be changed to, - said band includes a plurality of weakening and separating notches, one of said notches is located on the inferior border of said body, a second weakening or separating notch is located on the inferior border of said hole or extrusion window, and a third weakening or separating notch is located on the superior border of the hole or extrusion window, all of said notches are in alignment with each other.

In claim 36, a claim must be one sentence, and therefore, periods within the body of the claim are not allowed. All "." except the last, must be changed to - , -. Also, "(e) the introducing" should be changed to - (e) introducing -.

In claim 37, paragraph (a) is unclear, for example, the language "notches within said body that align inferior-superiorly though at least one hole with a continuous periphery formed within said boomerang shaped body's intact hole". If paragraph (a) of claim 37 is changed to be the same as paragraph (a) of claim 36, and if the periods "." are changed to - , - as stated above with respect to claim 36, then this claim would be allowable.

John J. Wilson
Primary Examiner